

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

FLINT, Jonathan, McNeill 765 Finchley Road London NW11 8DS GRANDE BRETAGNE PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

22.07.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001707

0300091

International filing date (day/month/year)

21.04.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

25.04.2003

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant

SMITHS GROUP PLC et Al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

9)

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Rasmussen, S

Tel. +31 70 340-4595





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	cant's or agent's file re 0091	eterence	FOR FURTHER ACT	rion	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
	national application No).	International filing date (da 21.04.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.04.2003
	national Patent Classif M16/04	ication (IPC) or	national classification and IPC		
Appli SMI	icant THS GROUP PLO	C et Al.		*	
1.	This report is the i	international pr rticle 35 and tra	reliminary examination rep ansmitted to the applicant	ort, established by according to Articl	v this International Preliminary Examining e 36.
2.	This REPORT coi	nsists of a total	of 9 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.	
3.			by ANNEXES, comprising		
	a. 🛛 sent to the	applicant and	to the International Burea	u) a total of 2 she	eets, as follows:
	⊠ sheets and <i>l</i> or Admin	of the descrip sheets contain istrative Instru	otion, claims and/or drawing ning rectifications authorized ctions).	gs which have bee ed by this Authorit	en amended and are the basis of this rep y (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	beyon Suppl	d the disclosur emental Box.	re in the international appli	cation as filed, as	considers contain an amendment that go indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
	cognopco	licting and hr t	Bureau only) a total of (incaper) ables related thereto, in cooper Listing (see Section 802)	mputer readable f	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing orm only, as indicated in the Supplementive Instructions).
4.	This report conta	ins indications	relating to the following ite	ems:	
	⊠ Box No. i	Basis of the o	pinion		
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. Ⅲ	Non-establish	ment of opinion with regar	rd to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity			
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability;	atement under Article 35(2 citations and explanations) with regard to no supporting such s	velty, inventive step or industrial tatement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docu		•	
	☑ Box No. VII		ts in the international appl		
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the internation	al application	
Dat	te of submission of the	demand		Date of completion	of this report
28	.09.2004			22.07.2005	
Nai pre	me and mailing addre	ıthority:		Authorized Officer	-godine to to
-	M -2280 F	⊰V Riiswiik - Pav	P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 es Bas	Zeinstra, H	• 0
	9))) Tel. +31 7	0 340 - 2040 Tx:	31 651 epo nl		1 70 340-2824
	Eav. 1217	70 340 - 3016		Telephone No. +3	ازد • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

10/549617

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001707

JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 20 SEP 2005

		Box No. I Basis of the report
	1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		 □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
	2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
		Description, Pages
		1-7 as originally filed
		Claims, Numbers
		1-10 received on 28.09.2004 with letter of 28.09.2004
		Drawings, Sheets
		1/2, 2/2 as originally filed
		☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
	3.	☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos.
y		 ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		 □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
		* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001707

		No. III Non-establishment of licability	i opir	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
1.	The obvi	questions whether the claimed i ous), or to be industrially applica	nvent เble h	tion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ave not been examined in respect of:		
		the entire international application	on,			
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 9,10				
		because:				
		the said international application not require an international prel	າ, or t imina ·	the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does ry examination (specify):		
		the description, claims or drawithat no meaningful opinion coul	ngs (a d be	indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear formed (specify):		
		the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are s	o inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion		
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 9,10				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comp C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			quence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex n that:		
		the written form		has not been furnished .		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the tables related to the nucleonot comply with the technical r	itide a equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
		See separate sheet for further	detai	ls		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001707

In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:											
١.		restricted the claims.									
		☐ paid additional fees.									
		paid additional fees unde	er protest.								
		□ neither restricted nor paid									
2.	☐·	This Authority found that the Rule 68.1, not to invite the a	e requirem applicant t	nent of unit o restrict o	y of invention is not complied with and chose, according r pay additional fees.	to					
3.	Thi				y of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 1	3.					
		complied with.	•								
		•	louing ro	acone:							
		not complied with for the fol			the first and application	20					
4.	Со	nsequently, this report has be	een estab	lished in re	spect of the following parts of the international application	<i>)</i> 11					
		all parts.									
	\boxtimes	the parts relating to claims	Nos. 1-8 .		the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-8.						
	- D -	W. N. V. Decembed states	nent und	er Article :	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or indust	 ria					
	- D -		nent und	er Article :	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or indust ling such statement	 ria					
	Bo ap	W. N. V. Decembed states	nent und	er Article :	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or indust ting such statement	ria					
	Bo ap	ox No. V Reasoned staten policability; citations and ex	nent und planation Yes:	er Article : ns support	3-8	ria					
	Bo ap	ox No. V Reasoned staten plicability; citations and exatement	nent und planatior	er Article (ns support	ting such statement	ria					
	Bo ap Sta	ox No. V Reasoned staten plicability; citations and exatement	nent und planation Yes:	er Article : ns support Claims Claims	3-8	 ria					
1.	Bo ap Sta	ox No. V Reasoned staten plicability; citations and exatement ovelty (N)	nent und planation Yes: No:	er Article : ns support Claims Claims	3-8 1,2	ria					
1.	Bo ap Sta No	ox No. V Reasoned statem policability; citations and ex atement ovelty (N) ventive step (IS)	nent undeplanation Yes: No: Yes:	er Article 3 ns support Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8 1,2 3-8	ria					
1.	Bo ap Sta No	ox No. V Reasoned staten plicability; citations and exatement ovelty (N)	Yes: No: Yes: No:	er Article 3 ns support Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8 1,2 3-8 1,2	ria					
	Bo ap Sta No	ox No. V Reasoned statem oplicability; citations and ex- atement ovelty (N) ventive step (IS) dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: No:	er Article : as support Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8 1,2 3-8 1,2	ria					
	Bo ap Sta No	ox No. V Reasoned statem policability; citations and ex atement ovelty (N) ventive step (IS)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: No:	er Article : as support Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8 1,2 3-8 1,2	ria					
	Bo ap Sta No Inv	ox No. V Reasoned statem oplicability; citations and ex- atement ovelty (N) ventive step (IS) dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: No:	er Article : as support Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8 1,2 3-8 1,2	ria					
	Bo ap Sta No Inv	ox No. V Reasoned statem plicability; citations and exatement ovelty (N) ventive step (IS) dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: No:	er Article : as support Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8 1,2 3-8 1,2	ria					
	Bo ap Sta No Inv	ox No. V Reasoned statem plicability; citations and exatement ovelty (N) ventive step (IS) dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: No:	er Article : as support Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8 1,2 3-8 1,2	ria					
	Bo ap Sta No Inv	ox No. V Reasoned statem plicability; citations and exatement ovelty (N) ventive step (IS) dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: No:	er Article : as support Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8 1,2 3-8 1,2	ri					

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001707

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item IV.

- The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:
 Claims 1,2-8: A tracheostomy device with a seal sealing above the opening into the trachea (problem: the secretions produced in the upper part of the trachea tend to collect on the inflated cuff which provides a site for the accumulation of bacteria).
 Claims 1,9,10: A tracheostomy device with an internal retainer in the form of a displaceable member (problem: to secure the tube to the neck without the use of a strap).
- They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:
- 2.1 The document US-A-3889688 cited in the search report discloses a tracheostomy device comprising essentially:
 - a tubular member,
 - an external retainer,
 - an internal retainer.

Over this prior art, no special technical feature (in the meaning of Rule 13.2 PCT) remains in claim 1 of the application.

2.2 Over this prior art, the potential special technical features (in the meaning of Rule 13.2 of the PCT) in the dependent claims are:

Claims 1, 2-8:

a seal for sealing the trachea above the opening,

Claims 1, 9,10:

internal retainer in the form of a displaceable member.

- 2.3 Accordingly, it appears that a posteriori, the above listed claims do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention.
- 2.4 Furthermore, it is pointed out that also when considering the effects associated with

PCT/GB2004/001707

the different groups of claims, no single general inventive concept exists between said different groups. Since there exists no other common special technical feature within the meaning of Rule 13.2 PCT, no technical relationship between the different groups of claims can be seen.

Re Item V.

- The following document is referred to in this communication:
 D1: US 4 278 081 A (JONES JAMES W) 14 July 1981 (1981-07-14)
- 4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- 4.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 (see column 4, line 52 column 5, line 47, figures 1,2) discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):
 A tracheostomy device including a tubular member (20) adapted to provide a gas passage into the trachea (14) through an opening in neck tissues and an external retainer (21) for retaining the tubular member with the external surface of the neck adjacent the opening, wherein the patient end (24) of the tubular member (20) terminates adjacent the internal end of the opening, and that the device includes an internal retainer (30) for retaining the tubular member with the internal surface of the trachea adjacent the opening.
- DEPENDENT CLAIM 2
 Dependent claims 2 and 5 to 8 when dependent on claim 2 does not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 5.1 In particular, the features of claim 2 are disclosed in combination with the features of

claim 1 in D1, see column 4, line 52 - column 5, line 47, figures 1,2, and therefore their subject matter is not novel.

- 5.2 In particular, the features of claims 5 to 8 when dependent on claim 2 are merely one of the straightforward possibility from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.
- DEPENDENT CLAIM 3
 Dependent claim 3 as far as it is dependent on claim 2, fulfills the requirements of Article 33(2) to (3) PCT.
- 6.1 Claim 3 differs from D1 in "the seal includes a fluid passage opening at one end above the seal and extending out of the trachea via the opening".

 In view of this difference, the subject matter of claim 3 is new and therefore meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 6.2 The problem to be solved by the present invention according to claim 3 may therefore regarded as "to reduce the accumulation of bacteria"
- 6.3 Claim 3 is neither known nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The advantage of the present invention is to "reduce the secretion build up on the inside of the tube by evacuating it through a fluid passage". In the prior art, there is no "evacuating fluid passage". Therefore it is not obvious to provide an evacuating passage from the "obturator".

 Therefore the subject matter of claim 3 meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 6.4 Claims 4 to 8 when dependent on claim 3 refer to preferable embodiments which fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- 7 The tracheostomy device of claim 1 is industrially applicable, and therefore the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT are met.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/001707

7.1 Dependent claims 2-8 are preferred embodiments of claim 1. In view of that, claims 2-8 meet the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

Re Item VII.

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

Re Item VIII.

The subject matter of claim 1 is unclear (article & PCT) since it is defined (see "patient end") in relation to features not forming part of the claim (see "opening"). They are only "suitable for". In particular, the feature of claim 1 "a tubular member adapted to provide a gas passage into the trachea through an opening in neck tissues" is not defined. The same applies for the feature "an external retainer for retaining the tubular member with the external surface of the neck adjacent the opening", and for the feature "an internal retainer for retaining the tubular member with the internal surface of the trachea adjacent the opening".